

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/826,093	SULLIVAN, JAMES G.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jong-Suk (James) Lee	3673	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Jong-Suk (James) Lee.

(3) _____.

 (2) Ms. Adrienne B. Naumann (Reg. No. 33,733).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 23 June 2005
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

10, 11 and 16-18

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted applicant's attorney to present changes to above mentioned claims, especially to correct any formal/informal under 35 USC 112, 2nd. It was agreed that "aluminum 6063" or "aluminum 356-T sand casting" be changed to -- aluminum alloy -- or -- aluminum sand casting -- to avoid specific model or compounds named by industries in the body of the claims. Claims 1-21 are allowed (see examiner's amendment for the detail).